

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.  
14

15 CLIFFORD DALE BERCOVICH and  
16 HOWARD WEBBER,

17 Defendants.

No. CR-13-00662-RS

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER EXCLUDING TIME  
UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

18 The defendant came before the Court for a status hearing on November 12, 2013. At that  
19 hearing, the case was scheduled for a further status hearing on December 3, 2013, at 2:30 p.m.

20 The parties agreed, and the Court found, that the time between November 12, 2013, and  
21 December 3, 2013, is properly excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code,  
22 Sections 3161(h)(7)(A); (h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties represent and this Court found that  
23 this delay is necessary to allow counsel for the Defendant to effectively review discovery documents and  
24 for effective preparation for trial. The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the  
25 requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

26 For the foregoing reasons, and as stated on the record at the hearing on November 12, 2013,  
27 the Court HEREBY ORDERS the period between November 12, 2013, and December 3, 2013, is  
28

1 properly excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(A);  
2 (h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would  
3 unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, including the  
4 review of discovery, and for continuity of counsel. The Court finds that the ends of justice served by  
5 granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy  
6 trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED

8  
9 DATED: 11/15/13

  
RICHARD SEEBORG  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE